

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/733,261		LEE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jeffrey T. Barton		1753	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Jeffrey T. Barton.

(2) Ron Grubb.

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 9 July 2007

**Time:** 11 am

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

  

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  
  

Claims discussed:

  
  

Prior art documents discussed:

  
  

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner called Mr. Grubb to require restriction between the instant claims to solar cells (1-11) and methods (12-14). Mr. Grubb indicated that Applicants elect Group 1, claims 1-11, with traverse.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)